To Mark Allen and Jim Lesar from HW 5/28/85 re FDIHQ tickler copy of NO's 6/12/67 TT to FRIRQ "Subject: Carlos Marcello."

Mark asks if 'in sent we that particular record and if he did I do not remember it; how it relates to the Marcello case, which I'll come to; and in is it evidence of withheld N.O. field office records, which it is, albeit not new evidence in any sense. The content, in other records, is in C.A. 78-0420.

The withheld name, already disclosed, is of Lt. Constock. He did a black bag job on Dave Ferrie and than he took what he stole to the FML. It included records relating to Jack Wassermen's and G. Wray Gill's defense of Harcello in the depostation case. 46, the file classification, begins with Fraud Against the Government and includes other crimes. In 1967, at the time of the indicated date, Carrison wanted the stuff constock stole from Ferrie and Constock went to the FEI for it, and that involved the NO GML, to whom the FII had given it, and other complications.

Some of the MO.O records were in an 80 file, others in 67, and he wasn't an applicant for PMI employment, the meaning of the 67 file.

Force but not all of the relevant records were disclosed to me, those that were included as xeromes in the assassination main file. Those that I identified and were not provided remained withheld and my appeal was ignored. Ditto for in the litigation, in which I used the disclosed copies as attachments to an affidavit.

Duplicate filing in the assassination file need not be interpreted as relating to any Parcello involvement, if that is the thrust of your question. Relevance to Ferrie is enough to justify it. The intended purpose had to do with the attempt to deport Parcello for allegedly getting citizenship by fraud, which Ferrie's investigation defeated.

However, it appears to be that Constock was one of the PBI's sources inside Garrison's office, and if so those records remain withheld and are hidden in either the 80, the 67 files or both.

It had other sources inside Carrison's office, disclosed in the assassination file, without identification of them and again, in all likelihood, not all the relevant information was added to the assassination file.

If you have further interest, I'm pretty sure I have a Comstock subject file, including the records I used in the litigation and on appeal. Jim also has them but he may not be able to retireve them readily.

Best wishes.